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Abstract

Purpose: To compare enhanced depth imaging (EDI) and non-EDI swept source optical coherence tomography (SS-OCT) in their ability to capture the suprachoroidal space (SCS).

Materials and methods: Twenty volunteers with a minimum age of 18 years without any ocular pathology and refractive error below ± 2 diopters underwent SS-OCT foveal scanning, with and without EDI. Masked averaged B-scan lines were analyzed for presence of the SCS. When the SCS was seen, the percentage of the scan on which this structure could be unequivocally observed was measured. Scores obtained from the images taken with or without EDI were then compared.

Results: Thirty-seven eyes were analysed, since three eyes of three different patients were eliminated, as the outer border of the choroid was insufficiently delineated with both modalities. The SCS was not detected at all on 14 pictures (37.8%) obtained by non-EDI SS-OCT and 9 pictures (24.3%) obtained by EDI SS-OCT. When the SCS was detected with both modalities, it was observable on 27.2±24.2% of the scan without EDI and 40.4±30.3 of the scan with EDI (p < .001).

Conclusions: EDI SS-OCT enables a more frequent and extensive visualization of the suprachoroidal space than non-EDI SS-OCT. This new approach could be considered as the most accurate modality to currently visualize the SCS in vivo.
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Introduction

The suprachoroidal space (SCS) is receiving increasing attention as its potential role in the diagnosis and treatment of various retinal conditions is being recognized.¹

Enhanced depth imaging (EDI), a modification of the regular acquisition technique, was originally described for spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) in order to improve visualization of deeper structures within the choroid.² EDI improved visualization of the choroidoscleral interface.
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There are, however, limitations in the ability of EDI SD-OCT to capture details of the outer choroidal border. On the other hand, the SCS has been captured in health and disease by using swept-source OCT (SS-OCT).

In this research, we aim to compare non-EDI and EDI-OCT in their ability to capture the SCS in healthy subjects and thereby attempt to improve the visualization of such an important structure. For this, we propose to evaluate the proportion of eyes in which the SCS is identified, applying the EDI acquisition protocol. When the SCS is seen, we aim to quantify on what percentage of the macular scan it is patent and draw a comparison between both imaging approaches.

Materials and methods

Ethics approval for this prospective, interventional case series was obtained from the Ethics Committee at Shaare Zedek Medical Center.

Participants and imaging protocol

Healthy volunteers with a minimum age of 18 years and refractive error below ±2 D, without any ocular pathology, were prospectively recruited at the Department of Ophthalmology, Shaare Zedek Medical Center, Jerusalem. Without pupil dilatation, they were imaged with DRI OCT-1 Atlantis (Topcon Medical Systems Inc., Oakland, NJ; software version 9.10; 1,050 nm central wavelength) in random order by a single, experienced operator. For each eye, averaged B-scan lines at the fovea were obtained by two techniques, with the hyperreflectivity at the bottom of the foveal pit as an indicator of correct scan position. Non-EDI pictures were first taken according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Then, EDI scans were obtained, the device being pushed close enough to the eye to create an inverted image near the top of the display. Enough separation from the top of the display was used to avoid image ambiguity from image folding with respect to zero depth.

Image evaluation

All pictures were transferred to the image software. Those obtained by EDI, presented originally with the choroid up and the retina down, were inverted, so masking of the acquisition technique could be guaranteed. For each image, a retina specialist noticed if a hyporeflective band corresponding to the described location of the SCS was identified. When the SCS was seen, the percentage of the scan (from Bruch’s membrane opening at the temporal border of the optic nerve head to the temporal edge of the scan) on which this structure could be unequivocally observed was measured. Scores obtained from the images taken with or without EDI were then compared.
Fig. 1. EDI-OCT enabling visualization of the SCS. Using SS-OCT without EDI, the SCS was not detected. EDI allows following the SCS on the temporal macula.

Fig. 2. Mild improvement in visualization of the SCS with EDI. While the SCS was captured with non-EDI SS-OCT, applying EDI enabled to detect it to a greater extent.
Results
Forty eyes of 20 volunteers were included. The mean age (±standard deviation) was 37.7 ± 8.5 years. EDI images were easily obtained for all eyes. There was no significant difference in the number of averaged eyes between the non-EDI (93.4 ± 6.5) and EDI series (91.9 ± 9.6; p = 0.4). Three eyes of three different patients were eliminated, as the outer border of the choroid was insufficiently delineated with both modalities. SCS was not detected in 14 pictures (37.8%) obtained by non-EDI SS-OCT and 9 pictures (24.3%) obtained by EDI SS-OCT. In the five eyes in which SCS was captured by EDI SS-OCT only, SCS could be followed over 10 ± 1.6% of the scan (range: 5%-15%).

When SCS was detected with both modalities, it was observable on 27.2 ± 24.2% of the scan without EDI (range: 5%-70%) and 40.4 ± 30.3% of the scan with EDI (range: 5%-90%). The difference in proportion of observable SCS was found to be statistically significant (p < 0.001).

Discussion
Applied to SS-OCT, EDI increases the proportion of eyes in which the SCS is detectable (from 62.2% to 75.7%) (Fig. 1).

EDI also enables to see larger parts of the SCS than non-EDI SS-OCT (13.2% more of the total scan length) (Figs. 2 and 3).
In the young population we studied, SS-OCT obtained better results than previously reported studies with EDI SD-OCT.\textsuperscript{6-8} In our series, the SCS was identified in 62.2% of the eyes, EDI increasing the detection rate to 75.7%.

Michalewska \textit{et al.} assessing the suprachoroidal layer and space using non-EDI SS-OCT were able to visualize the SCS in 20% of eyes with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (AMD), 50% of eyes with dry AMD, and 50% of those with full-thickness macular holes.\textsuperscript{5} Remarkably, they identified the SCS in only one healthy and one highly myopic eye (5% of each group), a rate lower than EDI SD-OCT.\textsuperscript{5-8}

With EDI SS-OCT, we were able to observe the SCS more frequently than what was reported by researchers using EDI SD-OCT or non-EDI SS-OCT.

It is likely that in the near future, the SCS will become a routine component in the diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of retinal diseases, and it is likely that new discoveries regarding its potential uses will be made in the near future.\textsuperscript{1}

Our results, based on a small number of patients, should be validated by larger studies, with other SS-OCT devices and involvement of various observers, in order to analyze the SCS not only in health but also in disease.

In conclusion, this study indicates that the EDI technique applied to SS-OCT enhances visualization of the SCS. Currently, this approach is probably the most accurate to detect and study the SCS.
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